

The New Era of Tissue Engineering - 3D Printing of Organs and Tissue constructs

Astha Khanna

Graver Technologies, Newark, NJ, 07105, USA.

*Correspondence to: Astha Khanna, Graver Technologies, 72 Lockwood st, Newark, NJ 07105, USA; Email: akhanna@gravertech.com; ORCID Identifier: 0000-0001-8619-8238

Received: April 18th, 2024; Accepted: May 10th, 2024; Published Online: May 13, 2024.

How to cite: Khanna A. The New Era of Tissue Engineering - 3D Printing of Organs and Tissue constructs. *BME Horizon*, 2024; vol2(1). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.37155/2972-449X-vol2\(1\)-112](https://doi.org/10.37155/2972-449X-vol2(1)-112)

Abstract: Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine research has utilized three-dimensional (3D) bioprinting as a promising technique for fabricating complex functional biological constructs mimicking native tissue for repair and/or replacement of damaged organs or tissues. It has shown to alleviate the hurdles of conventional tissue engineering methods based on scaffolding and microengineering by precise biomimetic properties and controlled layer-by-layer assembly of biomaterials in a desired 3D pattern. 3D bioprinting involves the top-down approach of building complex tissue with precise geometries using computer graphic generated anatomically accurate 3D models of the tissue. In this comprehensive review, we highlight 3D bioprinting technologies such as ink-jet printing, extrusion printing, stereolithography and laser assisted techniques and applications of 3D bioprinting for construction of tissues such as skin, cardiac, bone and cartilage. We will discuss current challenges with 3D bioprinting technologies and future prospects for advancements for efficient and effective construction of native tissues.

Keywords: 3D bioprinting; Tissue engineering; Biomaterials.

1. Introduction

Advancements in tissue engineering has led to engineering and repair of native tissues and organs for regenerative medicine and clinical research. Tissue engineering has been successful to address the challenges for regenerating or modeling highly complex and functional tissues. Tissue engineering research involves combination of

cells, biomaterials, and engineering approaches for fabrication of biological constructs that recapitulate the physiology and function of native tissues and organs^[1]. This technique requires formation of an interface cell, scaffolds and growth factors. Scaffolds act as matrices and provide a base for cellular growth and proliferation induced by growth factors. The scaffolds can be fabricated from naturally derived polymers such



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

as gelatin, collagen, hyaluronic acid, and alginate, or synthetic polymers such as poly(ϵ -caprolactone) (PCL), poly(lactic acid) (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) [2]. The scaffolds act as 3D templates to support cellular attachment and proliferation followed by cells developing their own ECM that leads to mature cell-laden grafts similar in properties to native tissues [3]. The phenotypes of seeded cells can be regulated by application of different biological or physical stimuli such as growth factors, shear stress, electrical and mechanical cues. However, this approach is time consuming and less efficient as it lacks specific 3D distribution of cells or matrix for mimicking microstructures of biological tissues, hence limiting clinical translation of this technique. It has been studied that the physiologically relevant activities and functions of organs rely on their microarchitectures such as cardiac fibers of myocardium, hepatic lobules of livers and nephron capillary system in kidneys [4].

Additive manufacturing is one of the techniques that has been utilized in tissue engineering and involves principles of material science and biology and involves the top-down approach for generation of complex biomimetic organ and tissue framework in a layer by layer fashion. This approach has been successful in generating precise geometries by virtue of controlled matter deposition with the aid of anatomically accurate 3D models of tissue [5].

3D bioprinting involves building a tissue or organs in a layer-by-layer bottom up approach and is an extended application of additive manufacturing. The aim is to recapitulate natural cellular architecture by deposition of cells and materials in a manner that can restore structure and function of complex tissues. In 3D bioprinting approach, cells or biomolecules are directly printed in a specific pattern on a substrate to form a 3D construct [6]. It is an automated process and can provide precise patterning of cells with controlled ECM organization. 3D bioprinting offers unprecedented versatility and potential to deliver cells and biomaterials with precise control over spatial distributions. It is important to consider the modalities associated with the cells and tissues such that biocompatibility of the material used, cell sensitivity to printing methods, growth factor delivery and perfusion etc. The layer by layer structure of bioprinted tissues allow for perfusion of gas and nutrients through pores

as well as inter and intra cellular talk [7]. One of the essential components in 3D bioprinting is bioink, a composite composed of biomaterials, cells and other components. 3D bioprinting can be divided into direct and indirect fabrications. Indirect bioprinting involves creation of a negative sacrificial mold followed by positive biomaterial cast and selective removal of the mold [8]. Direct bioprinting generates 3D structures in a layer by layer manner by depositing multiple cell types and/or biomaterials to achieve 3D constructs. The applications of tissue are not only limited to regeneration of complex damaged tissues *in vivo* but also includes construction of *in vitro* models for understanding cellular behaviors and screening of potential therapies using microfluidic organs-on-a-chip platforms [9]. However, there are challenges that need to be addressed for successful clinical translation of bioprinted tissues and organs such as vascularization, gaseous and nutrient exchange, biocompatibility and biodegradability of the substrate material, shape fidelity and generation of a functional printed tissue. This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of 3D bioprinting process and strategies such as ink-jet printing, stereolithography and laser assisted approaches. This review highlights the overview and application of biomimetic bioprinted tissues and organs such as skin, cardiac tissue, blood vessel, bone and cartilage and the challenges associated with the respective bioprinting approaches.

2. 3D Bioprinting Strategies

The process of 3D bioprinting is based on exact layering of biomaterials and has three basic steps: Preparatory phase, Printing phase and Post handling [10]. Preparatory phase involves designing anatomically accurate 3D models using computer graphics such as CAD/CAM and generation of 2D layers of desired thickness to be fed to bioprinter. This step also involves selection of bio-ink or material. Printing phase involves printing of tissues by additive manufacturing. Post-processing involves maturation of fabricated construct and its functional and structural characterization.

Bioprinting has been achieved as scaffold based or scaffold free. Scaffold based bioprinting approach involves a biomaterial matrix as a substrate for cellular deposition. The matrix could be a hydrogel, nanofibers or another 3D base that could be used

for patterning of bioink. It is important for the 3D matrix to resemble the native ECM microenvironment for cellular attachment, growth and proliferation. In comparison, scaffold free bioprinting involves direct deposition of cellular or tissue aggregates as spheroids that are deposited on print molds via extrusion process ^[11]. Cells secrete their own ECM that results in a mature tissue that is followed by removal of the mold. Self organization of cells increases ECM production and preserved tissue function. Different additive manufacturing approaches have been studied for selective cell and biomaterial patterning for generation of viable tissue constructs, for example, inkjet based 3D bioprinting, extrusion based 3D bioprinting, laser assisted 3D bioprinting, and stereolithographic based 3D bioprinting ^[12]. Each of the techniques are discussed in the section below.

2.1. Inkjet-based 3D Bioprinting

Inkjet bioprinting is based on the use of “bio-ink” that refers to a low viscosity suspension biomaterial with active cellular population deposited over a substrate usually a hydrogel, culture dish or polymer. Printing occurs in a digitally controlled pattern as this is non-contact printing approach. There are two methods to perform Ink-jet printing, a continuous ink-jet printing and drop-on-demand approach. Continuous ink-jet printing involves generation of a continuous jet of droplets by application of pressure to force the bio-ink out of the nozzle followed by application of electric field to deflect the bioink jet onto the substrate ^[13]. The excess droplets are collected in a gutter as they can be re-used. Drop-on-demand method involves production of droplets on demand using a pressure pulse as compared to continuous inkjet printing. One advantage of drop-on-demand printing is its pulsed nature compared to continuous bioprinting where the ink not deflected onto the surface is recirculated

through the printer posing a risk of contamination. The drop-on-demand printing approach is categorized into piezoelectric and thermal inkjet printing. Piezoelectric printing uses a piezoelectric transducer in the microfluidic chamber and pulsed voltage applied creates transient pressure for droplet actuation ^[14]. Thermal drop-on-demand printing uses pulsed electric current to a heating element that vaporizes ink droplets in the microfluidic chamber and ink droplet gets pushed by nozzle orifice onto the substrate due to vapor bubble pressure. Cells are exposed to high temperature for few microseconds and therefore they remain viable as they rise a little above ambient temperature ^[15]. There are certain attributes that can impact the printing process. The rheological properties of the ink can impact the process with a typical viscosity specification of 30 mPas that has been studied to be effective. ^[16-18]. Droplet size is a factor affected by other attributes such as nozzle size, distance between substrate and nozzle, temperature gradient in thermal drop-on-demand printing and transducer properties and frequency of current applied in case of piezoelectric printing. This approach has been successful in mammalian cell printing and DNA/protein patterning.

Ink-jet printing has the advantages of relatively inexpensive and non-contact nature that mitigates the risk of contamination. Xu and collaborators recently utilized drop-on-demand printing approach to develop vascular like alginate tubes by 3D inkjet bioprinting device platform ^[19]. An advantage of ink-jet printing is the potential of the approach to form complex multicellular patterns and constructs by con-current printing of multiple cellular types and biomaterials using varied printheads in a single operation ^[16]. **Table 2.1** summarizes the advantages and limitations of bioprinting techniques.

Table 2.1. Advantages and Limitations of Bioprinting Techniques ^[16]

3D Bioprinting Technique	Advantages	Limitations	Ref.
IBB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses thermal, electromagnetic or piezoelectric technology to deposit inkjets of “ink” (materials) • Rapid printing speeds and high resolution. • Capable to print low-viscosity biomaterials. Availability and ease of replacement of bioinks. • High-cell viability and relatively low cost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low material viscosity (<10 Pa • s) and low inkjet directionality. • Lack of precision with respect to inkjet size. Requirement for low viscosity bioink. • Nozzle clogging and cellular distortion due to high-cell density. • Low mechanical strength. Inability to provide continuous stream of material. 	[16,20,21]

3D Bioprinting Technique	Advantages	Limitations	Ref.
EBB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to print biomaterials with high cell densities (higher than 1×10^6 cells/mL) comparable to physiological cell densities. Can produce continuous stream of material. • Can successfully print high viscosity bioinks such as polymers, clay-based substrates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low printing resolution ($> 100 \mu\text{m}$) and slow printing speeds. • Loss of cellular viability and distortion of cellular structure due to the pressure to expel the bioink. • Time consuming – need to prepare reservoirs/ribbons. • Lower cellular viability compared to other methods. Loss of cells due to thermal damage. 	[17,20,21]
LBB: LIFT & SLA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rapid printing speeds and ability to print biomaterials with wide range of viscosities (1-300 mPa/s). High degree of precision and resolution. Can successfully print high density of cells 10^8 /mL 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SLA requires intense UV radiation for crosslinking process. • Requires large amount of material. • High cost. • Long post processing time and fewer materials compatible with SLA. 	[19,20,21]

Abbreviations: IBB (Inkjet based bioprinting); EBB (Extrusion based bioprinting); LBB (Laser based bioprinting); LIFT (Laser-induced forward transfer); SLA (Stereolithographic based bioprinting).

2.2 Extrusion Based Bioprinting

Extrusion based bioprinting can be operated using direct ink bioprinting or pressure assisted bioprinting. Direct ink bioprinting involves a material extrusion process where continuous extrusion of the material from the nozzle occurs generating 3D architecture. The materials used in this approach should specific rheological properties for aid in printing and should be shear thinning to enable extrusion out of the printing nozzle. To induce flow, application of shear stress above the yield stress of the resin is needed as the resin recovers its rigidity on the substrate after the shear stress is released. To achieve desired rheological properties, the polymer resins are blended with fillers such as silica particles that induce shear thinning flow behavior^[17]. These properties allow for shape retention of the printed structure. Alternative processes such as UV curing of the printed layer, thermal cure of extrusion into a support bath can aid solidifying as the latter holds the structure in place until conversion of deposited ink into a solid. This process is also called ‘freeform reversible embedding’ (FRE) or embedded 3D bioprinting. Traditional scaffolding processes such as solvent casting, electrospinning and salt leaching have the limitation to control pore architecture provided by CAD/CAM processes. Traditionally, pressure assisted deposition was used to address this concern. Polymers used primarily in this process are polycaprolactone (PCL), Polylactide (PLA) and their blends or composites with ceramics.

With the advancement of organ bioprinting, cell-encapsulated hydrogels have been utilized. Yin and collaborators employed pressure-assisted multi-syringe deposition platform to encapsulate rodent hepatocytes in gelatin hydrogels in conjunction with alginate, chitosan and fibrinogen for generation of a functional liver construct^[18]. While the construct exhibited cellular viability and function, enzymatic degradation affected construct stability even though the approach was successful in simultaneous deposition of cells and biomaterials. Gelatin-based hydrogels have been utilized for generation of biomimetic 3D hepatocyte and adipose-derived stem cells constructs. Incorporation of cells in Matrigel[®] for applications of bone grafts have been utilized to generate multi-cellular biomimetic constructs.

2.3 Laser Assisted 3D Bioprinting or Laser Induced Forward Transfer

Deposition of cells on substrate is carried out using a pulsed laser beam that makes this is a non-contact 3D printing process. There are three elements to Laser Assisted 3D Bioprinting process: a pulsed laser beam source, a ribbon coated with bioink and a substrate onto which the bioink is deposited. The energy source is usually UV laser or near wavelength lasers with nanoscale pulse wavelength. The bioink coated is on a target plate made of a material that allows transmission of laser through it such as quartz. The substrate is coated with a natural polymer or nutrient medium to facilitate the cellular deposition and growth.

Application of laser pulse causes volatile cell laden ink propelled onto the substrate. This led to the innovation of ‘absorbing film-assisted laser-induced forward transfer (AFA-LIFE)’ or biological laser processing (BioLP)’ and matrix-assisted pulsed laser evaporation direct writing (MAPLEDW) ^[19].

Laser Induced forward transfer was developed using high-energy laser pulse for direct writing of metal features on an optical substrate. This approach was extended for printing of biomolecules in the form of AFA-LIFE and BioLP. The interface of ribbon and bioink has a sacrificial layer of laser absorbing layer of any metal/oxide such as Ti, TiO₂ to protect cells from laser exposure. High energy laser beam causes thermal expansion of the sacrificial layer that results in propulsion of bio-ink onto the substrate with minimal cell damage. BioLP process uses a low-powered pulsed-laser and a hydrogel sacrificial layer such as Matrigel[®]. The hydrogel binds the bio-ink and the ribbon ^[20]. Cells can be printed as encapsulated in ECM-like biomaterial or directly printed onto/in ECM layer. Factors that can affect cellular viability during printing are ECM thickness onto which cells are deposited, laser-pulse energy, bioink viscosity etc. High laser pulse energy has been studied to cause cell fatality, and increased thickness of sacrificial layer and bioink viscosity results in cellular viability ^[22,23].

2.4 Stereolithographic Based 3D Bioprinting

Stereolithographic bioprinting method employs addition of materials by projecting light on a photo-sensitive heat-curable bioink in a plane by plane fashion. The approach uses light as a cross-linking agent and thus the ink is required to have some photo-curable moieties such as acrylate derivatives of polyethylene glycol (PEG) such as PEG dimethacrylate (PEGDMA) and PEG diacrylate (PEGDA) utilized in photopolymerization of scaffolds in tissue engineering ^[24]. Stereolithographic printing has two broad categories, a) Single photon method and multi-photon method. Single photon method has sub-components – 1) Visible radiation systems, 2) traditional stereolithography, 3) IR stereolithography and 4) Stereo-thermal lithography. Traditional single-photon stereolithography apparatus (SLA) can facilitate crosslinking of UV sensitive fluid oligomers into sol-gel polymeric networks utilizing UV photons ^[25]. Polymerization by a photosensitive resin has been studied in 3 steps: initiation, elongation and

termination.

Stereolithographic method has been utilized for fabrication of biocompatible and biodegradable scaffolds. Advincula and collaborators studied SLA polymerization of UV curable polymer-ceramic composites used for cellular seeding in a minipig model bone regeneration model ^[26]. Another group studied fabrication of vinyl ester resin bone regeneration scaffold by using vinyl ester resin in a rabbit model for bone growth and regeneration ^[26]. In cartilage tissue engineering, a bioabsorbable scaffold based on hyaluronic acid derivative, Hyaff[®] has been fabricated using UV lasers based stereolithography and clinical imaging. Inui and collaborators created a 2D cardiac tissue as cell sheets using primary neonatal cardiomyocytes through stereolithographic approach ^[27]. Another group studied a tri-leaflet heart valve fabricated using stereolithography bioprinting of polyhydroxyoctanoate and elastomers of poly-4-hydroxybutyrate ^[28]. Direct laser writing was utilized to fabricate polyethylene glycol hydrogels with fibroblasts secreting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) like molecules. Two photon laser scanning photolithography was utilized to create 3D liver tissue construct via laser polymerization of photosensitive polymers followed by functionalization with collagen seeded with rat hepatocytes.

3. Applications of 3D Bioprinting for Organ Regeneration

3.1 Skin Tissue 3D bioprinting

Human skin is a complex structure with major components of the tissue as epidermis and dermis and subcutaneous part forming the third region. Skin serves the functions of protecting from UV rays, prevents drying and acts as a barrier to prevent entry of toxins and pathogens ^[29]. Skin is also the first line of immune system defense. Upper epidermis layer is primarily composed of keratinocytes organized as keratinized stratified squamous epithelium. The growth of epidermis occurs from inwards to outwards with the basal layer having proliferating keratinocytes and mature cells on the surface. The basal layer or basement membrane acts as the separation between epidermis and dermis. The proliferative cells undergo differentiation with the newer undifferentiated cells at the bottom and terminally differentiated cells in

stratum corneum on the outside. Melanocytes secrete melanin that protect the skin from UV rays and provide the skin pigmentation and color. Other cells in the epidermis are nerve endings and glandular ducts and immune cells such as Langerhans cells and T cells. The second layer of the skin called dermis has two layers: the upper papillary and the lower reticular dermis. The upper papillary dermis is composed of loose, areolar connective tissue with dermal papillae and high ratio of collagen III and the lower reticular dermis is made up of dense connective tissue with high amount of collagen I. This difference in the collagen in the extracellular matrix of dermis imparts elasticity and mechanical strength to the skin.

Bioprinting the skin is of great significance because of its robustness and vitality. One of the earliest advancements in this area was creation of artificial skin grafting that could be used as a bandage for wound and burn healing. Bioprinting the skin construct has been studied by some research groups and has advantages over conventional approaches in that the process is automated and standardized for the specific clinical application that ensures precision in cellular deposition^[30]. Traditional scaffold based culture methods are time consuming with long production times to obtain constructs with large surface area. Bioprinting can be performed either *in situ*, at the site of injury or *in vitro*, where the construct is allowed to mature in a bioreactor before transplantation. *In situ* bioprinting provides higher precision in cellular deposition on the wound and can obviate the need for use of expensive biomaterials in lesser time compared to *in vitro* differentiation.

3.1.1. Skin tissue 3D bioprinting process

The bioprinting process for skin tissue consists of four steps. First step known as pre-processing and involves cell and biomaterial selection. The next step is the printing process followed by post processing that involves cell proliferation and maturation of bioprinted skin construct. The last step is characterization of printed tissue and evaluation of its function. Cellular population for printing is obtained from skin biopsy and are expanded via cell culture techniques. The cells could be either primary cells from a healthy donor or can be stem cells if donor has injured skin. Stem cells are derived from either adipose cells or mesenchymal cells or prenatal cells. Clinical images obtained from

MRI or PET could be used as an input to design anatomically accurate models of the functional tissue using CAD/CAM graphic interface^[31]. The STL model can be sliced into layers followed by deposition of the bio-ink. The thickness of the slices usually lies in the 100-500 micrometer range for inkjet and extrusion based bioprinters and a resolution of 20-100 micrometers for laser assisted bioprinting. Printing resolutions below 100 micrometer provide precise patterning of cell-laden constructs. A pre-requisite to precision bioprinting is high quality image acquisition from clinical imaging as quality of fabricated construct depends on the accuracy of the anatomical model^[31]. However, although *in vivo* cell distribution can be obtained by clinical imaging, it is challenging to use image processing tools to get anatomically accurate skin geometry. Hence, maturation of the printed construct is needed especially in *in vitro* bioprinting where the printed tissue matures in a bioreactor in comparison to *in situ* printing where maturation occurs at the site of injury.

3.1.2. Bioinks for bioprinting skin tissue

Bioink used for the bioprinting purpose should possess the biomechanical properties needed for deposition of ink in the patterns per stereolithographic approach. This is essential as bioink facilitates the cellular-ECM interactions and impact cellular growth and proliferation. Not only the bioink should be biocompatible, it must support the structure and function of the printed tissue and promote cellular differentiation. The choice of biomaterials used for bioinks can range from natural polymers as gelatin, collagen, hyaluronic acid, alginate to synthetic polymers such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), polycaprolactone (PCL) or a hybrid of different polymers. Sacrificial support materials such as Pluronic F-127 could be used to support and keep the cells together. Factors that are considered to select bioink for a specific application are rheological properties of the ink, gelation kinetics, shape fidelity and printing resolution of the ink. Zhang and collaborators used bio-ink suspension composed of amniotic fluid derived stem cells (AFSCs) and bone marrow derived stem cells suspended in thrombin crosslinked fibrin-collagen printed at the injury site^[32]. Human keratinocytes and fibroblasts were printed in athymic nude mice using ink-jet printing that resulted

in re-epithelialization in 7 weeks.

3.2 3D Bioprinting Liver Tissue

Liver has a functional unit called hepatic globule, a hexagonal structure that facilitate complex exocrine and endocrine functions such as metabolism and detoxification. The parenchymal hepatocytes have an endothermic origin and forms a significant portion of liver^[33]. Cells that compose the liver are fibroblasts, sinusoidal endothelial cells and biliary epithelial cells in addition to mesoderm derived cells such as hepatic stellate cells (HSC), Kupffer cells and stromal cells. The non-parenchymal cells are involved in important functions like synthesis of growth factors and ECM proteins facilitated by HSCs that regulate homeostasis and cellular signaling. Collagen and glycosaminoglycan regulate cell signaling and mechanical integrity of hepatocytes.

Traditional 2D culture methods have been employed for fabrication of biomimetic liver tissues, however, these methods have the limitations of creating the microenvironment for cell-ECM interactions thus limiting cellular survival rate. Some studies have pointed the role of intercellular adhesion for construction of volumetric liver tissues. 3D bioprinting has been studied to fabricate liver-like microstructures.

Liver hepatocytes and hepatoma cells have been printed using hydrogels such as MeHA, PEG, alginate and gelatin. One of the pioneers in bioprinting, Organovo™ successfully fabricated vascularized liver constructs with high cellular viability through bioprinting of high density hepatocytes, endothelial cells and hepatic stellate cells forming an architecture resembling native hepatic lobules^[34]. In addition, liver spheroids have been utilized in bioprinting as a replacement for single hepatocytes. Liver spheroids have been studied to recapitulate the volumetric cell-cell interactions and protect the cells from shear stress via printing process. Ma and collaborators studied bioprinted liver spheroid embedded in Gel MA hydrogel for long-term viability and functionality as evidenced by secretion of hepatic biomarkers such as albumin, transferrin, alpha 1 antitrypsin^[35]. Liver spheroids with microfluidic bioreactors was studied as a viable platform to screen hepatotoxic drugs for dose and time dependent responses of organoids for secretion of biomarkers.

3.3 3D Bioprinting of Cardiac Tissue

Cardiovascular diseases account for leading cause of mortality worldwide with an estimate of total incidents of myocardial infarction per year of about 8 million^[37]. One of the implications of cardiovascular diseases is the loss of irreplaceable cardiomyocytes as these cells have no repair or regeneration mechanism. Instead, the loss of cardiomyocytes leads to formation of a non-functional scar tissue with high risk of acute cardiomyopathy^[36]. These conditions are currently managed by bypass grafting of coronary arteries, cellular therapy, left ventricular assist device and heart transplantation. There are risks associated with these treatments in addition to lack of suitable donors for transplant and immune rejections. Tissue engineering aims to provide solution to these obstacles in treatment for cardiovascular diseases. Traditional approaches to cardiovascular tissue engineering involve the growth and proliferation of functional biomaterial scaffolds to support differentiation of stem cells. Decellularized scaffolds have been studied along with synthetic and natural hydrogels for biocompatibility and resemblance to the native tissue cell matrix. Autologous and allogenic stem cells have been studied in cardiac tissue engineering for lesser risk of immune rejections.

Recapitulating the complexity of a functional cardiac tissue is a challenge and requires integration of multiple cellular types such as cardiomyocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells. Other challenges include attaining the auto-rhythmic nature of myocardium. 3D bioprinting has gained interest in overcoming these challenges and limitations in building a functional tissue construct and restoration of characteristics of native cardiac tissues. Extensive research has been performed in fabrication of a functional myocardium and heart valves.

Cardiac bioprinting involves pre-processing, printing process and post processing steps. The first step is the creation of a 3D model for bioprinting a functional cardiac construct utilizing CAD/CAM modeling interfaces and clinical imaging techniques such as MRI and CT scans^[38]. High fidelity models can be created using imaging methods such as nuclear imaging PET and volumetric 3D echocardiography.

Generation of patient specific 3D cardiovascular model has been achieved with image segmentation processes. Firstly, identification of anatomic geometry of the target tissue is performed using clinical imaging

dataset exported into a digital imaging. The target cardiac tissue is then segmented in 2D projections in different anatomical planes such as axial, sagittal and coronal. Next, 2D images are stacked in the form of segmentation masks for printing that are then rendered into stereolithographic files to assess patient specificity. The files are then exported to bioprinter for tissue construct printing. The tissue construct undergoes maturation in a bioreactor to customize the construct for parameters such as contraction etc. These are characterized for mechanical and electrical stimulation to assess if long-term sustenance of contractions and relaxations is possible while maintaining tissue morphology. One of the challenges with cardiac bioprinting is maintaining perfusion of heart tissue in post-processing of printed cardiac constructs.

Bioinks used for cardiac bioprinting should meet criteria such as spatial control of hydrogel deposition by formation of stable elements via crosslinking mechanisms^[39]. Maintenance of cellular viability is an important criteria for cardiac bioprinting. Natural and

synthetic polymers have been used such as collagen, gelatin, hyaluronic acid etc for bioink formation. Cardiac bioprinting can be performed with or without a scaffold. Scaffold utilized in cardiac bioprinting can be pre-printed and seeded with cells to be printed simultaneously while scaffold-free process involves direct printing of biomolecules and cells on the substrate. Table 2 summarizes biomaterials that have been utilized for cardiac tissue bioprinting with the research outcomes of the bioprinting process.

Gao and collaborators utilized co-axial nozzles for bioprinting alginate filaments to fabricate microchannels in the vessel wall^[40] to produce biocompatible and mechanically strong blood vessels. In a similar study, Jin and collaborators utilized electrospinning to fabricate a blood vessel with inner EC and outer SMC layers^[41]. This technique had a > 90% viability of cells and suture retention strength similar to carotid artery. These techniques allow for generation of constructs with biocompatibility and mechanical strength needed for clinical translation.




Figure 1. Schematic showing the novel approach for fabricating small-diameter bionic vascular tissue by combining nanofiber electrospinning and rotary bioprinting. Reprinted with permission under open access from Jin et al.^[41].

3.4 3D Bioprinting of Cartilage Tissue

Articular cartilage has a complex structure formed of biomolecules such as collagen, proteoglycans and

non-collagenase proteins. The cartilage is made of chondrocytes embedded in an extracellular matrix. The tissue is capable to withstand intensive and repetitive

physical stress but can be degraded by mechanical, chemical and microbiological agents resulting in an injury. The avascular nature of the cartilage tissue and lack of a lymphatic and nervous system, the injury due to trauma or excessive stress cannot be regenerated and can result in several degenerative diseases such as osteoarthritis that depletes the patient's quality of life. The current treatments include microfracture, osteochondral grafts, autologous implantation and therapies such as MACI (autologous chondrocytes cultured on porcine collagen) ^[42]. However, these treatments provide short-term clinical solution and an inferior cartilage function. Traditional tissue engineering aims to homogeneously distribute the biological factors across the tissue, however the cartilage is composed of three zones that differ in gradients of collagen, proteoglycans and arrangement of proteoglycans. Thus, there has been significant attention to the development of alternate therapies. 3D bioprinting has achieved controlled and successful generation of spatial patterns and different grades of cartilage tissue to imitate cartilage anatomy, although the different regions of articular cartilage consist of variations in cellular densities, morphologies, mucopolysaccharides composition and mechanical characteristics.

3D bioprinting of cartilage involves six steps that include. A) Imaging, b) designing of replacement tissue, c) Material preparation, d) cellular preparation, e) Bioprinting, f) Implantation ^[43]. The primary step in cartilage tissue bioprinting is generation of patient specific medical image through imaging techniques such as MRI/CT scans and digitized by CAD/CAM. The biomaterials utilized in 3D bioprinting must have some properties such as printability, wetting and swelling characteristics, degradation kinetics and stability of structures. The material preparation step involves encapsulation of chondrocytes and stem cells into alginate hydrogels that can retain cellular viability and metabolic function. The cellular components should support ECM synthesis, vascularization and generation of nervous system and should be robust enough to survive the printing process and intact cellular function. The mechanisms utilized for cartilage bioprinting are Ink-jet based, extrusion based and stereolithography.

Selection of appropriate bioink on the basis of

composition and mechanical properties is critical. The main bioinks utilized for cartilage tissue bioprinting are natural polymers such as collagen, fibrin etc and synthetic polymers such as polyethylene glycol (PEG). Martinez and collaborators utilized hyaluronic acid with poly-lactic acid (PLA) to formulate a novel bioink for cartilage tissue 3D bioprinting ^[44]. Self-gelling property of silk fibroin with gelatin has been utilized as cross-link free bioink for cartilage tissue bioprinting.

3.5 Clinical Translation

The end goal of tissue engineering and bioprinting techniques is translation into clinically functional tissues and organ constructs for repair/replacement of damaged/necrotic ones.

Clinically translatable organs and tissue have been created in various applications such as orthopaedic implants, skin, cartilage etc.

3D bioprinting has been utilized for repair of lesions, fractures and arthroplasty implants, however for only non-living constructs as structural or space-filling prostheses ^[45]. The implants have been made of materials such as 76% Ti6Al4V, 2% CoCrMo, 5% CpTi that have been modified for enhancing biocompatibility of the construct.

The biocompatibility of the scaffolds can be increased by culture of mesenchymal cells ^[46], growth factors ^[47] and drug loaded nanoparticles ^[48]. The modified manufactured graft constructs have superior biocompatibility due to combination of hydrogels with stem cells or growth factors that accelerate healing and host integration in comparison with other materials such as ceramic, metal or synthetic polymers. Another advantage is the opportunity to utilize computed tomography to generate a scaffold that fits the geometry of the defect on site ^[49].

There are some challenges that need to be addressed for additive-manufactured grafts of clinical significance. The accuracy of the models need to be increased from the current range of 0.04-1.9mm ^[49]. In addition, clinical standards for sterilization need to be met that emphasizes that manufacturing process be conducted in sterile conditions for cell culture.

The advantages of 3D bioprinting is the potential of incorporation of biomaterials and different cell types patterned into constructs of clinically relevant size and geometries. Several 3D bioprinted constructs have been transplanted in animal models, for example, bioprinted

bone, skin, cartilage with increased biocompatibility, vascularization and host integration at the anastomosis site.

hAFSCs-laden volumetric 3D calvarial bone constructs were generated using multi-material bioprinting in a circular shape using bioinks and PCL/TCP framework^[50]. The bioprinted implants were implanted into a rat calvarial bone defect for 5 months. Immunostaining and histology studies demonstrated formation of vascular networks throughout the implants to form vascularized bone tissue that led to bone regeneration. This work was successful in combining different materials and cells via multichannel bioprinting to achieve the desired mechanical strength and properties for clinical translation.

Skin is the first line of defense and the largest tissue in the human body. Bioprinting strategies for skin constructs include two methods: transplantation of in vitro bioprinting skin construct and direct in situ bioprinting skin in the defect site. Albanna et.al. utilized a bioprinting method that involved combination of in situ bioprinting with imaging for precise printing of dermal fibroblasts and epidermal keratinocytes onto an injured site to form a skin layered construct^[51]. In this study, in situ bioprinting using cell-laden bioinks in murine and porcine models demonstrated re-epithelialization and wound repair. The bioprinted tissue had a structure and composition similar to human skin with collagen deposition and vascular networks.

In the last few years, transplantation of bioprinted tissues in animals have been reported with remarkable repair and healing of injured tissues. However, there are still limitations that need to be addressed for clinical trial applications. For example, optimum strategies for meeting the biochemical requirements of tissue is important with choosing the right biomaterials and cell sources. Most 3D tissues have the limitation of size and are small-volume structures with limited bifunctionality. Choosing the right cell line and culture are critical to biofabrication of living tissue substitutes and successful clinical translation. Vascularization is a bottleneck in engineered volumetric tissues and many techniques have been designed for improved vascular network formation for adequate supply of oxygen and nutrients.

Lee et. al studied a proof-of-concept for application of a human recombinant bioink for bioprinting a

vascularized cardiac construct with endothelium barrier function^[52]. For successful clinical translation, complex hierarchical vascularized networks need to be established in engineered tissue constructs for integration with host anastomosis.

Although significant progress has been made, there are still challenges that need to be met for successful clinical translation of engineered tissues and constructs.

4 Challenges and Future Prospects of 3D Bioprinting techniques.

3D bioprinting offers great potential for tissue and organ regeneration as it allows for fabrication of physiologically relevant tissues with relevant and consistent functional outcomes in patients. 3D bioprinting could be the alternative to organ transplantation for its potential to successfully integrate and construct a tissue with high scalability, stability and semblance to native structures^[53]. This approach allows for viable and high throughout tissue printing with better spatial control and precise patterning of cells in comparison with traditional tissue culture methods. One of the challenges in 3D bioprinting is manufacturing a mechanically stable 3D construct. Porosity and structure designed by 3D bioprinting should have a high elastic modulus to support the natural cellular growth during implantation^[54]. In the absence of a proper structural support, the newly formed tissues can fail. Vascularization of the bioprinted construct is another important criteria that ensures transport of growth factors, oxygen, nutrients and waste removal^[55]. In addition to obtaining bioprinted constructs for clinical translation, it is important for integration of a functional vasculature in the grafts for long term cellular survival. Different tissue require different cellular densities, cellular types and spatiotemporal distribution inside the 3D printed constructs^[56]. Further, in application involving stem cells, different matrix properties may modulate differentiation and trans-differentiation of cells into specific lineages .

In spite of advancements in medical science for repairing of diseased or dysfunctional tissues, fabrication of a vascular 3D network with adequate perfusion of nutrients and oxygen is still a challenge. Some of the recent advancements in the field are generation of active vascular bed utilizing spatiotemporal engineering techniques for promoting

angiogenesis and vascular cell differentiation. 3D bioprinting has been utilized to pattern 3D organ analogs formed of cellular and biological components. However, there are challenges that need to be addressed for translation and clinical success. Firstly, it is difficult to create dense capillaries for adequate passive diffusion of oxygen and nutrients^[57]. In addition, non-uniform infiltration of cellular components in engineered constructs is a limitation. Strategies to print cells within porous engineered constructs can mitigate the challenges of diffusion in 3D networks.

Shear stress applied to cellular components during bioprinting process can significantly impact growth and function^[58,59]. For example, vascular endothelial cells have been studied to not survive during directional flow conditions of shear stress during bioprinting.

In addition, the zones of anastomosis where vascular network and host circulation integrates is challenging to vascularize. Although, biochemical signalling cues have been studied to facilitate angiogenesis at the anastomosis site, it is impractical to achieve in a clinical setting.

Future progress in enhanced spatial complexity and resolution will be the area of focus such as incorporation of laser patterning bioprinting with sheet imaging. This technique can achieve bioprinting at a higher resolution and speed and can track real time bioprinting process of crosslinking bioinks and cells. Multiphoton bioprinting is the next generation bioprinting technique that can fabricate tissue engineered scaffolds, filters and microfluidic devices. With these advancements, 3D bioprinting techniques will take a new leap for printing of de novo organs and successful clinical translation. Future developments in the 3D bioprinting field can lead to rapid developments in bioprinters to perform the 3D printing process with high resolution with adequate mechanical strength and cellular viability^[60]. Development of bioinks with optimized bioprintability and biofunctional properties are important for success in clinical translation of 3D printed tissues and organs. Maintenance of cellular viability in the bioink formulation followed by printing in precise geometries needs standardization of the printing methods and for effective and efficient fabrication of the printed product^[61-64]. Finally, there is also need for better assays to analyze cellular functionality in 3D printed constructs for development

of effective functional constructs^[65-67]. All of these developments will lead to success in clinical translation and rapid drug development thereby reducing significant costs associated with drug screenings.

References

- [1] Mandrycky C, Phong K, Zheng Y. Tissue engineering toward organ-specific regeneration and disease modeling. *MRS Commun.* 2017;7(3):332-347.
doi:[10.1557/mrc.2017.58](https://doi.org/10.1557/mrc.2017.58)
- [2] Chen M, Jiang R, Deng N, *et al.* Natural polymer-based scaffolds for soft tissue repair. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2022;10:954699. Published 2022 Jul 19.
doi:[10.3389/fbioe.2022.954699](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.954699)
- [3] Thompson CL, Fu S, Heywood HK, *et al.* Mechanical Stimulation: A Crucial Element of Organ-on-Chip Models. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2020;8:602646.
doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2020.602646](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.602646)
- [4] Heinonen I, Kalliokoski KK, Hannukainen JC, *et al.* Organ-specific physiological responses to acute physical exercise and long-term training in humans. *Physiology (Bethesda).* 2014;29(6):421-436.
doi:[10.1152/physiol.00067.2013](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00067.2013)
- [5] Agarwal S, Saha S, Balla VK, *et al.* Current Developments in 3D Bioprinting for Tissue and Organ Regeneration—A Review. *Front. Mech. Eng.* 2020; 6:589171.
doi:[10.3389/fmech.2020.589171](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmech.2020.589171)
- [6] Rafelski, S., Marshall, W. Building the cell: design principles of cellular architecture. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008;9, 593–602.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2460>
- [7] Dey M, Ozbolat IT. 3D bioprinting of cells, tissues and organs. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):14023.
doi:[10.1038/s41598-020-70086-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70086-y)
- [8] Zhang YS, Yue K, Aleman J, *et al.* 3D Bioprinting for Tissue and Organ Fabrication. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2017;45(1):148-163.
doi:[10.1007/s10439-016-1612-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-016-1612-8)
- [9] Gaharwar, A.K., Singh, I. & Khademhosseini, A. Engineered biomaterials for in situ tissue regeneration. *Nat Rev Mater.* 2020; 5, 686–705.
doi:[10.1038/s41578-020-0209-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-020-0209-x)
- [10] Vanaei S, Parizi M S, Salemezadehparizi F, *et*

- al. An overview on materials and techniques in 3D bioprinting toward biomedical application. *Engineered Regeneration*, 2021, 2: 1-18.
doi:[10.1016/j.engreg.2020.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engreg.2020.12.001)
- [11] Yu Y, Moncal KK, Li J, *et al.* Three-dimensional bioprinting using self-assembling scalable scaffold-free "tissue strands" as a new bioink. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:28714.
doi:[10.1038/srep28714](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28714)
- [12] Zhang W, Liu Y, Zhang H. Extracellular matrix: an important regulator of cell functions and skeletal muscle development. *Cell Biosci.* 2021;11(1):65.
doi:[10.1186/s13578-021-00579-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-021-00579-4)
- [13] Cui X, Boland T, D'Lima DD, *et al.* Thermal inkjet printing in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. *Recent Pat Drug Deliv Formul.* 2012;6(2):149-155.
doi:[10.2174/187221112800672949](https://doi.org/10.2174/187221112800672949)
- [14] Bernasconi R, Brovelli S, Viviani P, *et al.* Piezoelectric drop-on-demand inkjet printing of high-viscosity inks. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 2022, 24(1): 2100733.
doi:[10.1002/adem.202100733](https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202100733)
- [15] J. Plog, Y. Jiang, Y. Pan, *et al.* Electrostatic charging and deflection of droplets for drop-on-demand 3D printing within confinements. *Additive Manufacturing*. 2020; 36:101400,
doi:[10.1016/j.addma.2020.101400](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101400)
- [16] Habib MA, Khoda B. Rheological Analysis of Bio-ink for 3D Bio-printing Processes. *J Manuf Process.* 2022;76:708-718.
doi:[10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.02.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.02.048)
- [17] Wu J, Wu C, Zou S, *et al.* Investigation of Biomaterial Ink Viscosity Properties and Optimization of the Printing Process Based on Pattern Path Planning. *Bioengineering.* 2023; 10(12):1358.
doi:[10.3390/bioengineering10121358](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10121358)
- [18] Habib MA, Khoda B. Rheological Analysis of Bio-ink for 3D Bio-printing Processes. *J Manuf Process.* 2022;76:708-718.
doi:[10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.02.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.02.048)
- [19] Xu C, Chai W, Huang Y, *et al.* Scaffold-free inkjet printing of three-dimensional zigzag cellular tubes. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2012;109(12):3152-3160.
doi:[10.1002/bit.24591](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24591)
- [20] Khanna A, Zamani M, Huang NF. Extracellular Matrix-Based Biomaterials for Cardiovascular Tissue Engineering. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2021;8(11):137.
doi:[10.3390/jcdd8110137](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcdd8110137)
- [21] Varchanis S, Haward SJ, Hopkins CC, *et al.* Transition between solid and liquid state of yield-stress fluids under purely extensional deformations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020;117(23):12611-12617.
doi:[10.1073/pnas.1922242117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922242117)
- [22] Yin X, Ren J, Lan W, *et al.* Microfluidics-assisted optimization of highly adhesive haemostatic hydrogel coating for arterial puncture. *Bioact Mater.* 2021;12:133-142.
doi:[10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.10.009)
- [23] Malinauskas, M., Žukauskas, A., Hasegawa, S., *et al.* Ultrafast laser processing of materials: from science to industry. *Light Sci Appl.* 2016; 5, e16133.
doi:[10.1038/lsa.2016.133](https://doi.org/10.1038/lsa.2016.133)
- [24] Ringeisen, B.R., *et al.* (2010). Biological Laser Printing (BioLP) for High Resolution Cell Deposition. In: Ringeisen, B., Spargo, B., Wu, P. (eds) *Cell and Organ Printing.* Springer, Dordrecht.
doi:[10.1007/978-90-481-9145-1_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9145-1_5)
- [25] Zhuang X, Deng G, Wu X, *et al.* Recent advances of three-dimensional bioprinting technology in hepato-pancreato-biliary cancer models. *Front Oncol.* 2023;13:1143600.
doi:[10.3389/fonc.2023.1143600](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2023.1143600)
- [26] Wang J, Cui Z, Maniruzzaman M. Bioprinting: A focus on improving bioink printability and cell performance based on different process parameters. *Int J Pharm.* 2023;640:123020.
doi:[10.1016/j.ijpharm.2023.123020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2023.123020)
- [27] Keriquel V, Oliveira H, Rémy M, *et al.* In situ printing of mesenchymal stromal cells, by laser-assisted bioprinting, for *in vivo* bone regeneration applications. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):1778.
doi:[10.1038/s41598-017-01914-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01914-x)
- [28] Sharifi S, Sharifi H, Akbari A, *et al.* Systematic optimization of visible light-induced crosslinking conditions of gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA). *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):23276.
doi:[10.1038/s41598-021-02830-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02830-x)
- [29] Thomas S, Senellart P. The race for the ideal

- single-photon source is on. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2021;16(4):367-368.
doi:[10.1038/s41565-021-00851-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00851-1)
- [30] Jang, JW., Min, KE., Kim, C., *et al.* Review: Scaffold Characteristics, Fabrication Methods, and Biomaterials for the Bone Tissue Engineering. *Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.* 24, 511–529 (2023).
doi:[10.1007/s12541-022-00755-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-022-00755-7)
- [31] Inui A, Sekine H, Sano K, *et al.* Generation of a large-scale vascular bed for the *in vitro* creation of three-dimensional cardiac tissue. *Regen Ther.* 2019;11:316-323.
doi:[10.1016/j.reth.2019.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2019.10.001)
- [32] Hasan A, Soliman S, El Hajj F, *et al.* Fabrication and In Vitro Characterization of a Tissue Engineered PCL-PLLA Heart Valve. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):8187.
doi:[10.1038/s41598-018-26452-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26452-y)
- [33] Ebling, F. John G. and Montagna, William. "human skin". *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 9 Apr. 2024,
<https://www.britannica.com/science/human-skin>.
- [34] Olejnik A, Semba JA, Kulpa A, *et al.* 3D Bioprinting in Skin Related Research: Recent Achievements and Application Perspectives. *ACS Synth Biol.* 2022;11(1):26-38.
doi:[10.1021/acssynbio.1c00547](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.1c00547)
- [35] Herrmann, K.A., Kohan, A.A., Gaeta, M.C., *et al.* PET/MRI: Applications in Clinical Imaging. *Curr Radiol Rep.* 2013; 1, 161–176.
doi:[10.1007/s40134-013-0021-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40134-013-0021-0)
- [36] Zhang Y, Yan J, Liu Y, *et al.* Human Amniotic Fluid Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes as a Novel Cell-Free Therapy for Cutaneous Regeneration. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2021;9:685873.
doi:[10.3389/fcell.2021.685873](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.685873)
- [37] Guido, M., Sarcognato, S., Sacchi, D., *et al.* (2019). The Anatomy and Histology of the Liver and Biliary Tract. In: D'Antiga, L. (eds) *Pediatric Hepatology and Liver Transplantation*. Springer, Cham.
doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-96400-3_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96400-3_3)
- [38] Zhang, Y.S., Haghighashtiani, G., Hübscher, T., *et al.* 3D extrusion bioprinting. *Nat Rev Methods Primers.* 2021; 1, 75.
doi:[10.1038/s43586-021-00073-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00073-8)
- [39] Ma, L., Wang, Y., Wang, J., *et al.* Design and fabrication of a liver-on-a-chip platform for convenient, highly efficient, and safe in situ perfusion culture of 3D hepatic spheroids. *Lab on a chip.* 2018;18 17, 2547-2562 .
- [40] Kim Y, Zharkinbekov Z, Sarsenova M, *et al.* Recent Advances in Gene Therapy for Cardiac Tissue Regeneration. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(17):9206.
doi:[10.3390/ijms22179206](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22179206)
- [41] Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, *et al.* Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2022 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association [published correction appears in *Circulation.* 2022 Sep 6;146(10):e141]. *Circulation.* 2022;145(8):e153-e639.
doi:[10.1161/CIR.0000000000001052](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001052)
- [42] Wang Z, Wang L, Li T, *et al.* 3D bioprinting in cardiac tissue engineering. *Theranostics.* 2021;11(16):7948-7969.
doi:[10.7150/thno.61621](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.61621)
- [43] Tarassoli SP, Jessop ZM, Jovic T, *et al.* Candidate Bioinks for Extrusion 3D Bioprinting-A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021;9:616753.
doi:[10.3389/fbioe.2021.616753](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.616753)
- [44] Gao Q, Liu Z, Lin Z, *et al.* 3D bioprinting of vessel-like structures with multilevel fluidic channels. *ACS biomaterials science & engineering,* 2017, 3(3): 399-408.
doi:[10.1021/acsbomaterials.6b00643](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbomaterials.6b00643)
- [45] Murphy, S.V., De Coppi, P. & Atala, A. Opportunities and challenges of translational 3D bioprinting. *Nat Biomed Eng.* 2020; 4, 370–380.
doi:[10.1038/s41551-019-0471-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-019-0471-7)
- [46] Yamanaka K, Yamamoto K, Sakai Y, *et al.* Seeding of mesenchymal stem cells into inner part of interconnected porous biodegradable scaffold by a new method with a filter paper. *Dent Mater J.* 2015;34(1):78-85.
doi:[10.4012/dmj.2013-330](https://doi.org/10.4012/dmj.2013-330)
- [47] Shi-hui Chen, Li-zhen Zheng, Xin-hui Xie, *et al.* Comparative study of poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid)/tricalcium phosphate scaffolds incorporated or coated with osteogenic growth factors for enhancement of bone regeneration. *Journal of Orthopaedic Translation.* 2014;2(2):91-104.
doi:[10.1016/j.jot.2014.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2014.01.002).
- [48] Zhou X, Feng W, Qiu K, *et al.* BMP-2 Derived

- Peptide and Dexamethasone Incorporated Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles for Enhanced Osteogenic Differentiation of Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2015;7(29):15777-15789.
doi:[10.1021/acsami.5b02636](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b02636)
- [49] Wen, Y., Xun, S., Haoye, M., *et al.* 3D printed porous ceramic scaffolds for bone tissue engineering: a review. *Biomaterials science*. 2017; 5(9), 1690-1698.
doi:[10.1039/C7BM00315C](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7BM00315C)
- [50] Kang, HW., Lee, S., Ko, I., *et al.* A 3D bioprinting system to produce human-scale tissue constructs with structural integrity. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2016; 34, 312-319.
doi:[10.1038/nbt.3413](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3413)
- [51] Albanna M, Binder KW, Murphy SV, *et al.* In Situ Bioprinting of Autologous Skin Cells Accelerates Wound Healing of Extensive Excisional Full-Thickness Wounds. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):1856.
doi:[10.1038/s41598-018-38366-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-38366-w)
- [52] Lee S, Sani ES, Spencer AR, *et al.* Human-Recombinant-Elastin-Based Bioinks for 3D Bioprinting of Vascularized Soft Tissues. *Adv Mater*. 2020;32(45):e2003915.
doi:[10.1002/adma.202003915](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202003915)
- [53] Jin, Q., Fu, Y., Zhang, G., *et al.* Nanofiber electrospinning combined with rotary bioprinting for fabricating small-diameter vessels with endothelium and smooth muscle. *Composites Part B: Engineering*. 2022; 234, 109691.
doi:[10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.109691](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.109691)
- [54] Vyas, C., Mishbak, H., Cooper, G., *et al.* Biological perspectives and current biofabrication strategies in osteochondral tissue engineering. *Biofabrication*. 2020;5, 2.
doi:[10.1007/s40898-020-00008-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40898-020-00008-y)
- [55] Zhang J, Wehrle E, Rubert M, *et al.* 3D Bioprinting of Human Tissues: Biofabrication, Bioinks, and Bioreactors. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;22(8):3971.
doi:[10.3390/ijms22083971](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22083971)
- [56] Cabral LRB, Teixeira LN, Gimenez RP, *et al.* Effect of Hyaluronic Acid and Poly-L-Lactic Acid Dermal Fillers on Collagen Synthesis: An in vitro and in vivo Study. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2020;13:701-710.
doi:[10.2147/CCID.S266015](https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S266015)
- [57] Khanna, A. Fabrication of Human Serum Albumin Film for Enhanced Hemocompatibility and Mitigation of Intimal Hyperplasia Under Physiologically Relevant Flow Shear Conditions. *All Dissertations*. 2017; 2060.
https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/all_dissertations/2060
- [58] Lu X, Khanna A, Luzinov I, *et al.* Surface modification of polypropylene surgical meshes for improving adhesion with poloxamine hydrogel adhesive. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*. 2019;107(4):1047-1055.
doi:[10.1002/jbm.b.34197](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.34197)
- [59] Khanna A, Oropeza BP, Huang NF. Engineering Spatiotemporal Control in Vascularized Tissues. *Bioengineering (Basel)*. 2022;9(10):555.
doi:[10.3390/bioengineering9100555](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9100555)
- [60] Khanna A, Ayan B, Undieh AA, *et al.* Advances in three-dimensional bioprinted stem cell-based tissue engineering for cardiovascular regeneration. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2022;169:13-27.
doi:[10.1016/j.yjmcc.2022.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2022.04.017)
- [61] Pias SC. Pathways of Oxygen Diffusion in Cells and Tissues : Hydrophobic Channeling via Networked Lipids. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2020;1232:183-190.
doi:[10.1007/978-3-030-34461-0_23](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34461-0_23)
- [62] Huang NF, Serpooshan V, Morris VB, *et al.* Big bottlenecks in cardiovascular tissue engineering. *Commun Biol*. 2018;1:199.
doi:[10.1038/s42003-018-0202-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0202-8)
- [63] Khanna A., *et al.* (2015). Fabrication of Human Serum Albumin film for enhanced Hemocompatibility and Vascular Compatibility. Transactions of the Annual Meeting of Society for Biomaterials.
- [64] Khanna A., *et al.* (2017). Fabrication of human Serum Albumin film on expanded polytetrafluoroethylene for enhanced hemocompatibility and adhesion strength. Transactions of the Annual Meeting of Society for Biomaterials.
- [65] Khanna, A., *et al.* (2023). Extracellular Matrix Bioactive Molecules and Cell Behavior Modeling. Handbook of the Extracellular Matrix: Biologically-Derived Materials. F. R. A. Maia, J. M. Oliveira and R. L. Reis. Cham, Springer International Publishing: 1-18.

- [66] Khanna A, Oropeza BP, Huang NF. Growth Factors Regulation in Angiogenesis. *Encyclopedia*. Available at: <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/44942>. Accessed April 9, 2024.
- [67] Khanna A, Oropeza BP, Huang NF. Cardiovascular human organ-on-a-chip platform for disease modeling, drug development, and personalized therapy. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2024;112(4):512-523. [doi:10.1002/jbm.a.37602](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.37602)